University of Alabama System Board Rule 415 (2/2005) Board Submittal Checklist Criteria

* Board Submittal Checklist No. 2 Capital Project – Stage II and Budget Reallocation Submittals/1

(Architect Ranking and Budget Reallocation) /8

| | Campus: | The University of Alabama |
|---|----------------|---|
| | Project N | ame: Gorgas Library Core Support |
| | Meeting I | Date:November 8 – 9, 2018 |
| * | <u> </u> | Completed Board Submittal Checklist No. 2 |
| | 2. | Transmittal Letter to Chancellor from Campus President requesting the |
| | | project be placed on the agendas for the forthcoming Physical Properties |
| | | Committee and Board of Trustees (or Executive Committee) meetings |
| | \boxtimes 3. | Proposed Board Resolution requesting approval of Stage II Submittal |
| | | (Architect Ranking, Project Scope and Project Budget; authority to proceed |
| | | with Owner/Architect contract negotiations) |
| | \square 4. | Campus correspondence/photos providing supporting project information |
| | 5. | Completed Executive Summary – Proposed Capital Project. /2 |
| | 6. | Executive Summary - Architect, Engineer, Selection process (include |
| | | Interview Outline). /3, /4, /5 |
| | 7. | Campus letter requesting approval of the ranking of firms and authority to |
| | | submit to the Physical Properties Committee for approval – signed by the |
| | | Chair of the Physical Properties Committee and signed by the UA System Vice |
| | , | Chancellor for Finance and Administration. /6 |
| | 8. | Project Planning Report/2 |
| | 9. | Preliminary Business Plan (if applicable)/7 |
| | 10 | . Campus map(s) showing Project site |
| | 8 | Prepared by: Carla Colema Jones (78) Approved by: |
| | | Approved by: Tim legrand |

- /1 Reference Tab 3H Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
- /2 Reference Tab 3E Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
- /3 Reference Tab 3K Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
- /4 Reference Tab 3L Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
- /5 Reference Tab 3M Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
- /6 Reference Tab 3N Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
- /7 Reference Tab 3V Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide
- /8 After completion of negotiations on Owner/Architect Agreement, provide notification to Chair of the Physical Properties Committee and UA System Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. Reference Tab 3-O-Board Rule 415, Instructional Guide
- * Basic documents required for this Board Submittal Package include other supporting materials, correspondence, etc., as may be required to fully describe or illustrate project being submitted for approval to Physical Properties Committee and Board of Trustees.

RESOLUTION

GORGAS LIBRARY CORE SUPPORT

WHEREAS, on September 21, 2018, in accordance with Board Rule 415, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved a Stage I submittal for the Gorgas Library Core Support project ("Project") located at 711 Capstone Drive; and

WHEREAS, the Project is a critical step in the realignment of Library space as necessary to support the teaching, research, and learning environment in the 21st Century; and

WHEREAS, the Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University"), has completed Part 1 of the Consultant Selection process in accordance with Board Rule 415 and negotiations will be conducted following approval as follows:

Ranking of Top Firms:

- 1. CMH Architects, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- 2. Seay Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama
- 3. Barganier Davis Williams Architects Associated, PA, Montgomery, Alabama

WHEREAS, the University desires execute a separate Elevator Package for early material procurement of long lead elevator equipment in order to meet the Project schedule and decrease the impact to students, faculty, and staff within the building during the time of construction by aligning the work with the summer term; and

WHEREAS, the University is requesting approval for a Budget Reallocation to reflect the Construction – Elevator Package; and

WHEREAS, the Project location and program have been reviewed and are consistent with the University Campus Master Plan, University Design Standards and the principles contained therein; and

WHEREAS, the Project will be funded from University Plant Funds in the amount of \$7,000,000; and

WHEREAS, the revised budget for the Project is as stipulated below:

| BUDGET: | REVISED |
|--|-----------------|
| Construction | \$ 4,181,000 |
| Construction – Elevator Package | \$ 1,469,000 |
| Security/Access Control | \$ 50,000 |
| Telecommunication/Data | \$ 50,000 |
| Contingency* (10%) | \$ 565,000 |
| UA Project Management Fee** (3%) | \$ 186,450 |
| Architect/Engineer Fee*** (~7.88%) | \$ 444,938 |
| Expenses (Geotech, Construction Materials Testing and | \$ 30,000 |
| Special Inspections) | |
| Other Fees and Services (Testing, Advertising, Printing) | \$ 23,612 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$ 7,000,000 |

^{*}Contingency is based on 10% of the total costs of construction.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama that Stuart R. Bell, President, Matthew M. Fajack, Vice President for Financial Affairs and Treasurer, or those officers named in the most recent Board Resolutions granting signature authority for The University of Alabama be, and each hereby is, authorized to act for and on behalf of the Board of Trustees to execute an architectural agreement with CMH Architects, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama, for architectural services in accordance with Board Rule 415 for this Project.

^{**}UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the total costs of construction and contingency.

^{***}Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 6.3% of the total costs of construction plus a 25% renovation factor.

MEMO



October 12, 2018

To:

From:

Subject:

Matthew M. Fajack Board Item - Action: Stage II and Budget Reallocation submittals:

Gorgas Library Core Support

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, a Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University"), solicited proposals from qualified architectural firms for the Gorgas Library Core Support project ("Project"). The Consultant Selection Committee's recommendations were forwarded to and approved by the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. The University is requesting approval to begin negotiations for the Project with the top ranked firms as follows:

- 1. CMH Architects, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- 2. Seay Seay & Litchfield, Architects, Montgomery, Alabama
- 3. Barganier Davis Williams Architects Associated, PA, Montgomery, Alabama

Additionally, the University desires to bid a separate Elevator Package for early procurement of long lead elevator equipment in order to meet the Project schedule and decrease the impact to students, faculty, and staff within the building during the time of construction by aligning the work with the summer term.

Lastly, the University is requesting approval for a Budget Reallocation to reflect the Construction – Elevator Package.

The Project will be funded from University Plant funds in the amount of \$7 million and will address approximately the same amount in campus deferred maintenance liability.

I have attached an Executive Summary Consultant Selection process - Part 1, Letter of Approval from the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration, Interview Outline, Resolution, Executive Summary, Project Summary, Project Planning Report and Location map for your review.

Gorgas Library Core Support October 12, 2018 Page 2

Subject to your approval, I recommend this item be forwarded to the Chancellor for inclusion as an Action Item on the agenda of the Physical Properties Committee at the Board of Trustees meeting scheduled for November 8 – 9, 2018.

MMF/ccj

pc w/atchmts:

Michael Rodgers

Michael Lanier Tim Leopard

Sommer Coleman

Jake Whaley

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECT

BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

|] | Meeting Date: | November 8 – 9, 2 | 018 | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------|--------|
| CAMPUS: | The University o | f Alabama, Tuscaloosa | , Alabama | |
| PROJECT NAME: | Gorgas Library | Core Support | | |
| PROJECT LOCATION: | 711 Capstone Dr | ive | | |
| ARCHITECT: | Requesting in thi | s submittal | | |
| | | | | |
| THIS SUBMITTAL: | | PREVIO | US APPROVALS: | |
| Stage I | | Septembe | er 21, 2018 | |
| ∑ Stage II | | | | |
| Reallocated Bud | lget | 20 | | |
| Stage III | | | | |
| Stage IV | | | | |
| | | | | |
| PROJECT TYPE | SPA | ACE CATEGORIES | PERCENTAGE | GSF |
| Building Construction | i | | | |
| Building Renovation | | | 100% | 10,900 |
| Building Addition | | | | |
| Equipment Equipment | | | 1 | |
| | TO | TAL | 100% | 10,900 |

| BUDGET | Current Revised | | Revised |
|---|---------------------------|----|-----------|
| Construction | \$ 5,650,000 | \$ | 4,181,000 |
| Construction – Elevator Package | \$ 0 | \$ | 1,469,000 |
| Security/Access Control | \$ 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| Telecommunication/Data | \$ 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| Contingency* (10%) | \$ 565,000 | \$ | 565,000 |
| UA Project Management Fee** (3%) | \$ 186,450 | \$ | 186,450 |
| Architect/Engineer Fee*** (~7.88%) | \$ \$ 444,938 \$ 444,9 | | 444,938 |
| Expenses (Geotech, Construction Materials Testing and Special | | | |
| Inspections) | \$ 30,000 | \$ | 30,000 |
| Other Fees and Services (Testing, Advertising, Printing) | \$ 23,612 | \$ | 23,612 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | \$ 7,000,000 | \$ | 7,000,000 |

^{*}Contingency is based on 10% of the total costs of construction.

^{**}UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the total costs of construction and contingency.

^{***}Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 6.3% of the total costs of construction plus a 25% renovation factor.

N/A*

ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) COSTS: (Utilities, Housekeeping, Maintenance, Insurance, Other)

TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL O&M COSTS: \$ N/A*

FUNDING SOURCE:

Capital Outlay:

Plant Funds \$ 7,000,000

\$

O&M Costs: \$ N/A*

NEW EQUIPMENT REQUIRED:

RELATIONSHIP & ENHANCEMENT OF CAMPUS PROGRAMS:

The proposed Gorgas Library Core Support project ("Project") is a critical step in the realignment of library space in order to meet the strategic plan of The University of Alabama ("University") and to transform University libraries as necessary to support the academic mission and the teaching, research and learning environment in the 21st Century. The Project will address deferred maintenance needs and install core infrastructure to support future development in coordination with the Gorgas Library Master Plan. Following the completion of the proposed work, additional space will become available in University libraries when select printed materials are relocated, providing adequate seating and work spaces for students and faculty. There are frequent requests from students for additional group study spaces, graduate student and faculty spaces, and more areas for quiet, individual study spaces. Students spend a great amount of time in the libraries working, studying and interacting with peers.

Increased emphasis on research will increase the demand for areas where graduate students and faculty can create and share knowledge in an age of digital technology and collaboration.

Providing adequate and appropriate space for students to study and collaborate has a direct impact on retention and recruitment.

^{*}The Gorgas Library is an existing E&G facility and accordingly is already funded. Ongoing O&M costs are funded from the annual operating budget.

ATTACHMENT NO. 1

Project: Gorgas Library Core Support BOT Submittal: Stage II and Budget Reallocation Meeting Date: November 8 – 9, 2018

Project Summary

GORGAS LIBRARY CORE SUPPORT

The Gorgas Library Core Support project ("Project"), located at 711 Capstone Drive, is a critical step in the realignment of library space in order to meet the strategic plan of The University of Alabama ("University") and to transform University libraries as necessary to support the academic mission and the teaching, research and learning environment in the 21st Century. In order to logically realize the future realignment of library space, the Project will increase current restroom fixture counts to accommodate the additional student density expected with the pedagogical shift, refurbish the elevators, and provide core shafts and infrastructure to support the future work in close coordination with the Gorgas Library Master Plan.

The restrooms will be enlarged and renovated for ADA compliance and upgraded to University design standards. Upon completion of the Project, the number of male and female restrooms will remain seven (7) each. One (1) of the four (4) unisex restrooms will be converted to a lactation room. The number of fixtures will increase from 56 to 63.

The elevators are at the end of their useful life and will be completely upgraded and replaced. This includes two (2) passenger elevators and one freight elevator.

The University is proposing that the Elevator Package be separated from the construction to meet the Project schedule due to the long lead time for elevator equipment. The Elevator Package will begin construction during Summer 2019 to decrease the impact to students, faculty and staff.

The Project will address approximately \$7 million in deferred maintenance liability for this highly active core campus facility.



October 9, 2018

Dr. Dana S. Keith Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration Sid McDonald Hall 500 University Boulevard, East Tuscaloosa, AL 35401

Mr. James W. Wilson, III Chair, Physical Properties Committee Chairman and CEO Jim Wilson & Associates, LLC 2660 Eastchase Lane, Suite 100 Montgomery, AL 36117

RE: Consultant Selection Process - Part 1

Gorgas Library Core Support UA Project No: 013-18-1737

Dear Dr. Keith and Trustee Wilson,

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, on September 21, 2018, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved the Stage I submittal for the Gorgas Library Core Support project ("Project") at a projected cost of \$7 Million.

Also pursuant to Board Rule 415, on September 16-17, 2018, notifications for the Project, including a brief description of the Project program, location, and preliminary budget were advertised, issued by email to Alabama-based firms and others in the consultant database, and posted on The University of Alabama ("University") campus web page. Firms desiring to be considered were requested to provide brochures to the University outlining their qualifications, relevant experience and proposed team members by September 24, 2018.

A Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by the University in accordance with the provisions of Board Rule 415, reviewed the submitted brochures and on October 4, 2018, interviewed the following architectural firms:

Gorgas Library Core Support October 9, 2018 Page 3

- Barganier Davis Williams Architects Associated, PA, Montgomery, Alabama
- CMH Architects, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- Seay Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama

The Consultant Selection Committee then determined the following ranking for the firms deemed most qualified for the Project:

- 1. CMH Architects, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- 2. Seay Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama
- 3. Barganier Davis Williams Architects Associated, PA, Montgomery, Alabama

The primary selection criteria used in the ranking of the firms included the following:

- 1. The firms represented a clear understanding of the Project program and goals, as well as how to achieve them, specifically, expertise with renovating existing and support spaces.
- 2. The firms are familiar with the University facilities standards and the regulatory requirements for the design of the Project.
- 3. The firms presented the most favorable listing of qualified principals, staff and associated engineers for the Project along with a commitment to meet the University's schedule for completion of the design and construction of the Project.
- 4. The firms are committed to using Alabama-based consultant engineers and architects for the Project.

Approval is hereby requested for:

- 1. The ranking of consultant firms listed hereinbefore.
- 2. Approval to submit these rankings to the Physical Properties Committee for review and approval.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Vice President for Financial Affairs

and Treasurer

MMF/cci

Gorgas Library Core Support October 9, 2018 Page 3

Attachment

pc/atchmt:

Michael Rodgers

Michael Lanier Tim Leopard

Sommer Coleman

Jake Whaley

The above listing of firms ranked as the most qualified for the Project are hereby approved and by forwarding this executed document to the Chancellor's office, the rankings are approved for inclusion in the Board materials to the Physical Properties Committee.

Dr. Dana S. Keith: Recommend for Approval
Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration

Trustee James W. Wilson, III: **Approval Recommended** Chair of the Physical Properties Committee

Part 1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

| | Meeting Date: | November 8 – 9 | , 2018 | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|--|
| Campus: | The University of Alabama | | | | |
| Project Name: | Gorgas Library Cor | e Support | | | |
| Project Location: | 711 Capstone Driv | e | | | |
| Prepared By: | Vince Dooley/Carl | a Coleman Jones | Date: | October 9, 2018 | |
| D | Column and American | | | | |

| Project | Туре | Range of Co | nstrı | uction | Costs |
|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------|--------|-----------|
| \boxtimes | Building Renovations | \$ 4,000,000 | to | \$ | 6,000,000 |
| | Building Addition | \$ | to | \$ | |
| | New Construction | \$ | to | \$ | |
| | Campus Infrastructure | \$ | to | \$ | |
| | Equipment | \$ | to | \$ | |
| | Other | \$ | to | \$ | |

| Building Type – Group I | | Percentage of Project |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| | Industrial Building Without Special Facilities | % |
| | Parking Structures/Repetitive Garages | % |
| | Simple Loft Type Structure | % |
| | Warehouses/Utility Type Buildings | % |
| | Other | % |

| Building Type - Group II | | | Percentage of Project | | |
|--------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|
| | Apartments and Dormitories | ñ | % | | |
| | Exhibit Halls | | % | | |
| | Manufacture/Industrial Facilities | - | % | | |
| | Office Building (Without Tenant Improvements) | - | % | | |
| | Printing Plants | - | % | | |
| | Service Garage/Facility | - | % | | |
| | Other (Storm Shelter and Multi-Purpose Event) | _ | % | | |

| Buildin | g Type - Group III Percentage | of Project |
|-------------|---|------------|
| | College Classroom Facilities | % |
| | Convention Facilities | % |
| | Extended Care Facilities | % |
| | Gymnasiums | % |
| | Hospitals | % |
| | Institutional Dining Halls | % |
| | Laboratories | % |
| \boxtimes | Libraries 100 | % |
| | Medical Schools | % |
| | Medical Office Facilities and Clinics | % |
| | Mental Institutions | % |
| | Office Buildings (with tenant improvements) | % |
| | Parks | % |
| | Playground and Recreational Facilities | - % |
| | Public Health Centers | |
| | Research Facilities | % |
| | Stadiums | % |
| | Central Utilities Plants | % |
| | Water Supply and Distribution Plants | % |
| | Sewage Treatment and Underground Systems | — % |
| | Electrical Substations and Primary and Secondary Distribution Systems, Roads, Bridges and Major Site Improvements when performed as Independent | |
| | projects | % |

| Buildin | g Type – Group IV | Percentage of Project |
|---------|---|-----------------------|
| | Aquariums | % |
| | Auditoriums | % |
| | Art Galleries | % |
| | College Buildings with special features | % |
| | Communications Buildings | |
| | Special Schools | % |
| | Theaters and similar facilities | % |
| | Other | % |

| Building Type – Group V | Percentage of Project |
|--|------------------------|
| Residences and Specialized Decorative Buildings | % |
| Other | % |
| | |
| Repetitive Design or Duplication of Facilities | |
| Does the Building Program/Requirements support repetiti design or duplication of Facilities justifying an adjustment in A Design Fees? | cive A/E □ Yes ⊠ No |
| Building Program Development | |
| Will the A/E Agreement require the Development of Comprehensive Building/Design Program in lieu of one provid by Owner requiring an adjustment in A/E Fees? | |
| Construction Consultant Services | |
| A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE | 131 |
| Will the University be utilizing a Construction Consultant who we perform some of the services normally provided by the Archite requiring an adjustment of A/E Fees? | |
| | |
| Multiple Prime Trade Contracts | |
| Will the project be competitively bid and constructed usi Multiple Trade Contracts requiring additional services from t A/E? | |
| | |
| Design Build Services | |
| Will the University be using a Design/Build process, which w result in a reduction in contracted design services and corresponding adjustment in A/E Fees? | |
| | |
| Architect/Engineer Project Notifications | |
| Advertised through State Building Commission | |
| Local/State Trade Journals | |
| Posted on Campus Web Pages | |
| Direct Contact with A/E Companies/Firms | |
| Other: Newspaper and email distribution list | |

Appointed Consultant Selection Committee (CSC): (Name and Title)

- 1. Jake Whaley, Project Manager
- 2. Vince Dooley, Architectural Design Coordinator
- 3. Garrett Goodman, University Architect
- 4. Susanna Johnson, Director, Furnishings and Design
- 5. Harriet Deason, Director of Administrative Services, University Libraries

Qualified Firms/Companies Submitted:

- 1. Barganier Davis Williams Architects Associated, Montgomery, Alabama
- 2. CMH Architects, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- 3. Seay Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama

Ranking of Most Qualified Firms to be submitted to the Physical Properties Committee

- 1. CMH Architects, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- 2. Seay Seay & Litchfield Architects, Montgomery, Alabama
- 3. Barganier Davis Williams Architects Associated, Montgomery, Alabama

Reviewed and approved by:

Chairman of Consultant Selection Committee

Vice/President for Financial Affairs and Treasurer

The University of Alabama Architectural Presentation Outline Gorgas Library Core Support UA Project No. 013-18-1737

Part 1

ONE: RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS (Score 1 - 5)

- A. Describe <u>your firm's</u> experience working with other universities and state agencies.
- B. Describe <u>your firm's experience</u> working with The University of Alabama ("University").
 - The University desires to have input in the procurement of consultants once the top ranked firm is selected.
- C. The University encourages the use of certified minority-owned businesses and certified women-owned businesses in its construction program. Describe your firm's approach in soliciting certified minority-owned or women-owned firms and consultants.

TWO: RESPONDENT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS (Score 1 – 5)

- A. Identify and describe the proposed team's past experience providing A/E services that are <u>identical or similar</u> to this project within the last ten (10) years. List the projects in order of priority, with the most relevant project listed first.
- B. Provide references (for each project listed above, identify the following):
 - The Owner's name and their representative who served as the day-to-day liaison during the design and construction phases of the project, including current contact information.

The Owner may contact these references during this qualification process.

C. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years ever been terminated from a design project? If yes, please give pertinent details.

THREE: LITIGATION AND CLAIMS (Score 1 - 5)

- A. Does your firm/organization or any of its officers currently have any judgments, claims, and arbitration or mediation proceedings pending or outstanding? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).
- B. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years filed any lawsuits or requested arbitration or mediation proceedings in regard to any of your construction projects? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

FOUR: RESPONDENT'S ABILITY TO MEET INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS (Score 1 – 5)

- A. Does your firm/organization have the ability to meet all of the University's insurance requirements? (see attached)
- B. What is your process for managing any claims of the contractors during the project?

FIVE: PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA (Score 1 – 5)

- A. What is your experience with elevator retrofits / upgrades and coordination?
- B. Discuss your experience and standard of care with renovations projects where occupancy must be sustained throughout multiple phases of construction.
- C. Discuss your experience with restroom renovations, to include ADA accessibility, multi-phase construction and temporary life safety provisions.

Oral Interview Criteria/Focus Gorgas Library Core Support

UA Project No. 013-18-1737 Date: October 4, 2018

1. Welcome/Introduction (time allotted = 5 minutes)

- a. Design Team
 - i. Brief Introduction of your firm and the person or team who is ultimately responsible for project success.

Renovation Expertise and Design Opportunities/Feedback (time allotted = 30 minutes)

- a. Please review the project programming information for Phase 0 and provide feedback relative to design and scheduling of the elevator and restroom scope.
- b. Discuss your experience and standard of care with renovations projects where occupancy must be sustained throughout multiple phases of construction.
 - i. What is your firm's methodology and level of care as it pertains to site supervision with general contractors?
- c. Elaborate on your firm's experience with the coordination of major building systems (i.e. mechanical, electrical, plumbing and elevators).
 - i. Discuss where you have had to design or consider staged/phased construction for future tie-in or connectivity.
- d. We anticipate a Summer 2019 construction start date for the elevator upgrade and restrooms work. The work potentially could extend throughout the 2019-2020 academic year for restroom stacking. The UA plans on an early elevator procurement package.
 - i. Discuss your level of understanding and experience with elevator upgrades and procurement.
 - ii. Discuss designing with phased construction activities as part of project execution.
- 3. Questions & Answers (time allotted = 5 minutes)

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA SYSTEM PROJECT PLANNING REPORT DATE: November 8 - 9, 2018

| X INITIAL REPORT |
|------------------|
| — INTERIM REPORT |
| FINAL REPORT |
| 1 REPORT NO |

| 7 | rı | ١. | |
|---|----|----|--|

OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR

BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

FROM:

| 1. PROJECT: | Gorgas Library Core Support | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| 2. LOCATION: | 711 Capstone Drive | | | | | |
| 3. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER: | Requesting in this submittal | | | | | |
| 4. PROJECT STATUS: | - | | | | | |
| A. SCHEMATIC DESIGN | DATE INITIATED | | | September-18 | | |
| | | % COMPLETE | | | 100% | |
| | | * DATE COMPLETE | D | | N | ovember-18 |
| B. PRELIMINARY DESIGN: | | DATE INITIATED (Projected) | | | November-18 | |
| | | % COMPLETE | | | 0% | |
| | | * DATE COMPLETE | D (Proj | ected) | D | ecember-18 |
| C. CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS: | | DATE INITIATED (Projected) | | | December-18 | |
| | | % COMPLETE | | | 0% | |
| | | * DATE COMPLETE | D (Proj | ected) | F | ebruary-19 |
| D. SCHEDULED BID DATE | E: | | | | | April-19 |
| 5. CURRENT PROJECT BUDGE | | CURRENT | | REVISED | | |
| A. CONSTRUCTION | | \$ | 5,650,000 | \$ | 4,181,000 | |
| B. CONSTRUCTION - ELEVATOR PACKAGE | | | \$ | = = | \$ | 1,469,000 |
| C. SECURITY/ACCESS CONTROL | | | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| D. TELECOMMUNICATION/DATA | | | \$ | 50,000 | \$ | 50,000 |
| E. CONTINGENCY* (10%) | | | \$ | 565,000 | \$ | 565,000 |
| F. UA PROJECT MANAGEMENT FEE** (3%) | | | \$ | 186,450 | \$ | 186,450 |
| G. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER FEE*** (~7.88%) | | | \$ | 444,938 | \$ | 444,938 |
| H. EXPENSES (GEOTECH, O | | MATERIALS TESTING | | | | |
| AND SPECIAL INSPECTION | NS) | | \$ | 30,000 | \$ | 30,000 |
| I. OTHER FEES AND SERVICES (TESTING, ADVERTISING, PRINTING) | | | \$ | 23,612 | \$ | 23,612 |
| I. OTTIER TEES AND SERVE | | | | | | |

| 6. FUNDING/RESOURCES: | University Plant funds - \$7,000,000 | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 7. REMARKS | | | | |
| CENON ADDROVAL | 1. 0 2 5 0 0 col | | | |

* FINAL AGENCY APPROVAL

SUBMITTED BY:

^{***}Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 6.3% of the total costs of construction plus a 25% renovation factor.

LOCATION MAP

