University of Alabama System Board Rule 415 (2/2005) **Board Submittal Checklist Criteria**

* Board Submittal Checklist No. 2 Capital Project - Stage II Submittal/1 (Architect Ranking)/8

	Campu	s:	The University of Alabama
	Project	Naı	ne: Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East
	UA Pro	ject	•
	Meeting	g Da	ate: February 6 – 7, 2020
+	\boxtimes	1.	Completed Board Submittal Checklist No. 2
	Ħ	2.	Transmittal Letter to Chancellor from Campus President requesting the project be placed on the
			agendas for the forthcoming Physical Properties Committee and Board of Trustees (or Executive
			Committee) meetings
	\boxtimes	3.	Proposed Board Resolution requesting approval of Stage II Submittal
			(Architect Ranking, Project Scope and Project Budget; authority to proceed with Owner/Architect
			contract negotiations)
	\boxtimes	4.	Campus correspondence/photos providing supporting project information
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	5.	Completed Executive Summary – Proposed Capital Project. /2
	\boxtimes	*6.	Executive Summary – Architect, Engineer, Selection process (include Interview Outline). /3, /4, /5
	\boxtimes	7.	Campus letter requesting approval of the ranking of firms and authority to submit to the Physical
			Properties Committee for approval - signed by the Chair of the Physical Properties Committee and
			signed by the UA System Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. /6
	\boxtimes	8.	Project Planning Report/2
		9.	Preliminary Business Plan (if applicable)/7
	\boxtimes	10.	Campus map(s) showing Project site

*Interview not conducted. The Interview Outline has been replaced with Qualifications Outline.

Prepared by: <u>Carla Coleman Jones</u>

Approved by: <u>Un leapard</u>

^{/1} Reference Tab 3H - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/2} Reference Tab 3E - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/3} Reference Tab 3K - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/4} Reference Tab 3L - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/5} Reference Tab 3M - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/6} Reference Tab 3N - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/7} Reference Tab 3V - Board Rule 415 Instructional Guide

^{/8} After completion of negotiations on Owner/Architect Agreement, provide notification to Chair of the Physical Properties Committee and UA System Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration. Reference Tab 3-O-Board Rule 415, Instructional Guide

Basic documents required for this Board Submittal Package include other supporting materials, correspondence, etc., as may be required to fully describe or illustrate project being submitted for approval to Physical Properties Committee and Board of Trustees.

RESOLUTION

PETER BRYCE CAMPUS CENTRAL PARKING LOT-EAST

WHEREAS, on November 8, 2019, in accordance with Board Rule 415, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved the Stage I submittal for the Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East project ("Project") located at 200 Bryce Drive; and

WHEREAS, this Project will serve as the primary parking location for the central area of the Peter Bryce Campus; and

WHEREAS, the Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University") has completed Part 1 of the Consultant Selection Process in accordance with Board Rule 415 and negotiations for the Project will be conducted following Board approval as follows:

Ranking of Top Firms:

- 1. McGiffert and Associates, LLC, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 2. TTL, Inc., Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 3. LBYD, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama

WHEREAS, the Project location and program have been reviewed and are consistent with the University Campus Master Plan, University Design Standards and the principles contained therein; and

WHEREAS, the Project will be funded from University Plant Reserves in the amount of \$2,000,000; and

WHEREAS, the budget for the Project remains as stipulated below:

BUDGET:	CURRENT
Construction	\$ 1,000,000
Utilities and Infrastructure	\$ 300,000
Landscaping	\$ 75,000
Security/Access Control	\$ 100,000
Telecommunication/Data	\$ 85,000
Contingency* (10%)	\$ 137,500
UA Project Management Fee** (3%)	\$ 45,375
Architect/Engineer Fee*** (7.1%)	\$ 97,625
Expenses (Surveys, Testing, Inspections)	\$ 80,000
Other Fees and Services (Advertising, Printing, Postage)	\$ 79,500
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 2,000,000

^{*}Contingency is based on 10% of the cost of construction, utilities and infrastructure, and landscaping.

^{**}UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the cost of construction, utilities and infrastructure, landscaping, and contingency.

^{***}Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 7.1% of the cost of construction, utilities and infrastructure and landscaping.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama that Stuart R. Bell, President; Matthew M. Fajack, Vice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer; or those officers named in the most recent Board Resolutions granting signature authority for the University be, and each hereby is, authorized to act for and on behalf of the Board to execute an engineering agreement with McGiffert and Associates, LLC, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, for engineering services in accordance with Board Rule 415 for this Project.



January 6, 2020

To:

Stuart R. Bell

From:

Matthew M. Fajack

Subject:

Board Item – Action: Stage II submittal:

Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East

UA Project No.: TRN-20-2191

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, a Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by The University of Alabama ("University"), solicited proposals from qualified engineering firms for the Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East project ("Project").

The Consultant Committee's recommendations were forwarded to and approved by the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration for the Project. The University is requesting approval to begin negotiations for the Project with the top ranked firms as follows:

- 1. McGiffert And Associates, LLC, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 2. TTL, Inc., Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 3. LBYD, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama

The Project will be funded from University Plant Reserves in the amount of \$2,000,000.

This proposed Project is included in the University's current approved 2017 Campus Master Plan.

I have attached an Executive Summary Consultant Selection process – Part 1, Letter of Approval from the Physical Properties Committee Chair and Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration, Qualifications Outline, Resolution, Executive Summary, Project Summary, Project Planning Report and Location map for your review. Subject to your approval, I recommend this item be forwarded to the Chancellor for inclusion as an Action Item on the agenda of the Physical Properties Committee at the Board of Trustees meeting scheduled for February 6 – 7, 2020.

MMF/ccj

pc w/atchmts:

Michael Rodgers

Sommer Coleman

Austin Fisher

Michael Lanier

Tim Leopard

WHERE LEGENDS ARE MADE

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECT

BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

	Meeting Date: Febru	ary 6 – 7, 2020	
CAMPUS:	The University of Alab	pama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama	
PROJECT NAME:	Peter Bryce Campus (Central Parking Lot-East	
PROJECT LOCATION:	200 Peter Bryce Drive		
ARCHITECT:	Requesting in this sub-	mittal	
THIS SUBMITTAL:		PREVIOUS APPROVALS:	
Stage I		November 7 – 8, 2019	
∑ Stage II			
Stage III			
Stage IV			
PROJECT TYPE	SPACE CATEGORIES	PERCENTAGE	GSF
New Construction			
Building Addition			
Building Renovation			
Other	Parking/Infrastructure	100%	N/A
	TOTAL	100%	N/A

BUDGET	Current
Construction	\$ 1,000,000
Utilities and Infrastructure	\$ 300,000
Landscaping	\$ 75,000
Security/Access Control	\$ 100,000
Telecommunication/Data	\$ 85,000
Contingency* (10%)	\$ 137,500
UA Project Management Fee** (3%)	\$ 45,375
Architect/Engineer Fee*** (7.1%)	\$ 97,625
Expenses (Surveys, Testing, Inspections)	\$ 80,000
Other Fees and Services (Advertising, Printing, Postage)	\$ 79,500
TOTAL PROJECT COST	\$ 2,000,000

^{*}Contingency is based on 10% of the total cost of construction, utilities and infrastructure, and landscaping.

^{**}UA Project Management Fee is based on 3% of the total cost of construction, utilities and infrastructure, landscaping, and contingency.

^{***}Architect/Engineer Fee is based on 7.1% of the total cost of construction, utilities and infrastructure, and landscaping.

ESTIMATED ANNUAL OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE (O&M) COSTS:	
(Utilities, Housekeeping, Maintenance, Insurance, Other)	
129 Parking Spaces x \$116:	\$ 15,000
TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUAL O&M COSTS:	\$ 15,000

FUNDING SOURCE:		
Capital Outlay:		
	University Plant Reserves	\$ 2,000,000
	O&M Costs: University Annual Operating Funds	\$ 15,000

NEW EQUIPMENT REQUIRED:	
	N/A

RELATIONSHIP & ENHANCEMENT OF CAMPUS PROGRAMS:

The Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East project ("Project") will provide parking and improve access and security for visitors, students, faculty, and departmental personnel in the area of the Tom Barnes Education Building, University Hall, Capital Hall, Peter Bryce Main, and the future Performing Arts Academic Center.

The Project will promote continued development and activation of the Peter Bryce Campus into the greater University. Integration of the Peter Bryce Campus into main campus is important to ensure that it is seamless with operations and feels a part of the campus community.

ATTACHMENT NO. 1

Project: Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East BOT Submittal: Stage II Meeting Date: February 6 – 7, 2020

Project Summary

PETER BRYCE CAMPUS CENTRAL PARKING LOT-EAST

The Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East project ("Project") will provide parking for the central area of the Peter Bryce Campus. Per the 2017 Campus Master Plan, a parking lot is proposed north of Capital Hall and the Bryce Main, and east of the Performing Arts Academic Center to support operations and programs in the area. This Project will include completing the east half of the lot in its' entirety and infrastructure support and roughing in the west half to support construction laydown for the Performing Arts Academic Center.

The lot will initially include approximately 129 parking spaces and feature standard University lighting, safety features, wayfinding, and landscaping. This Project will also include adjacent work to coordinate pedestrian connectivity, roadways; improvements to stormwater drainage; and upgrades to site lighting in the area. This Project will be coordinated with present and proposed work for Capital Hall and the Performing Arts Academic Center.

Phase II of the Project will be considered at a future date and completed in conjunction with the Performing Arts Academic Center. The completed capacity of the lot will be approximately 410 spaces.

Part 1

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS

BOARD OF TRUSTEES SUBMITTAL

	Meeting Date:	February 6 – 7	7, 2020			
Campus:	The University of A	labama				
Project Name:	Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East					
Project Location:	200 Peter Bryce Dri	ive				
Prepared By:	Vince Dooley/Carla	a Coleman Jones	Date:	Do	ecembe	r 5, 2019
Project Type	Maj inoversion		Range of Co	onstru	ction (Costs
Building Reno	ovations	\$		to	\$	
Building Add	ition	\$		to	\$	
New Constru	ction	\$		to	\$	
🔀 Campus Infra	astructure	\$	1,250,001	to	\$	1,500,000
Equipment		\$		to	\$	
Other		\$		to	\$	
		11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		7		
Building Type Group	T			Darc	antaga	of Project
Building Type - Group				Perc	entage	of Project
Industrial Bui	ilding Without Specia			Perc	entage	%
Industrial Bui	ilding Without Specia		Patrio 1	Perc	entage	% — % —
Industrial Bui Parking Struc Simple Loft T	ilding Without Specia tures/Repetitive Gara ype Structure	ages		Perc	entage	% — % — %
Industrial Bui Parking Struc Simple Loft T Warehouses/I	ilding Without Specia	ages		Perc	entage	% — % — % — %
Industrial Bui Parking Struc Simple Loft T	ilding Without Specia tures/Repetitive Gara ype Structure	ages		Perc	entage	% — % — %
Industrial Bui Parking Struc Simple Loft T Warehouses/I	ilding Without Specia tures/Repetitive Gara ype Structure Utility Type Building	ages				% — % — %
Industrial Bui Parking Struct Simple Loft T Warehouses/U Other Building Type - Group	ilding Without Specia tures/Repetitive Gara ype Structure Utility Type Building	ages				% — % — % — %
Industrial Bui Parking Struct Simple Loft T Warehouses/U Other Building Type - Group	ilding Without Specia tures/Repetitive Gara ype Structure Utility Type Building II	ages				%
Industrial Bui Parking Struct Simple Loft T Warehouses/U Other Building Type - Group Apartments a Exhibit Halls	ilding Without Specia tures/Repetitive Gara ype Structure Utility Type Building II	ages				- % - % - % - % of Project
Industrial Bui Parking Struc Simple Loft T Warehouses/U Other Building Type - Group Apartments a Exhibit Halls Manufacture/	ilding Without Special tures/Repetitive Garal type Structure Utility Type Building: II nd Dormitories	nges s				- % - % - % - % - of Project
Industrial Bui Parking Struc Simple Loft T Warehouses/U Other Building Type - Group Apartments a Exhibit Halls Manufacture/	ilding Without Special tures/Repetitive Garatype Structure Utility Type Building: II nd Dormitories Industrial Facilities ng (Without Tenant In	nges s				- % - % - % - of Project - % - %
Industrial Bui Parking Struct Simple Loft T Warehouses/U Other Building Type - Group Apartments a Exhibit Halls Manufacture/ Office Buildin	ilding Without Special tures/Repetitive Gara type Structure Utility Type Building II II Dormitories Industrial Facilities Ing (Without Tenant Ints	nges s				- % - % - % - % - % - % % % % - %

College Classroom Facilities	
<u> </u>	
Extended Care Facilities %	
Gymnasiums %	- 1
Hospitals %	
Institutional Dining Halls %	
Laboratories %	
Libraries %	
Medical Schools %	
Medical Office Facilities and Clinics %	
Mental Institutions %	
Office Buildings (with tenant improvements) %	
Parks %	
Playground and Recreational Facilities %	
Public Health Centers %	
Research Facilities %	
Stadiums %	
Central Utilities Plants %	
Water Supply and Distribution Plants %	
Sewage Treatment and Underground Systems %	
Electrical Substations and Primary and Secondary Distribution 100 %	
Systems, Roads, Bridges and Major Site Improvements when	
performed as Independent projects	
Building Type – Group IV Percentage of Project	
Aquariums %	
Auditoriums %	
Art Galleries %	
College Buildings with special features %	
Confige Buildings with special features % Communications Buildings %	
Special Schools %	
Theaters and similar facilities %	
Other %	

Building Type – Group V	Percentage of Project
Residences and Specialized Decorative Buildings	%
Other	 %
D. 111 D. L. D. B. D. B. C. D. C. D. History	
Repetitive Design or Duplication of Facilities	
Does the Building Program/Requirements support repetitive design o duplication of Facilities justifying an adjustment in A/E Design Fees?	Yes No.
Building Program Development	
Will the A/E Agreement require the Development of a Comprehensive Building/Design Program in lieu of one provided by Owner requiring an adjustment in A/E Fees?	
Construction Consultant Services	
Will the University be utilizing a Construction Consultant who will perform some of the services normally provided by the Architect requiring an adjustment of A/E Fees?	1
Multiple Prime Trade Contracts	
Will the project be competitively bid and constructed using Multiple Trad Contracts requiring additional services from the A/E?	e Xes No
Design Build Services	
Will the University be using a Design/Build process, which will result in reduction in contracted design services and a corresponding adjustment in A/E Fees?	
Architect/Engineer Project Notifications	
Advertised through State Building Commission	
Local/State Trade Journals	
Posted on Campus Web Pages	
Direct Contact with A/E Companies/Firms	
Other: Newspaper and email distribution list	

Appointed Consultant Selection Committee (CSC): (Name and Title)

- 1. Jason Bigelow, University Architect
- 2. Vince Dooley, Architectural Design Coordinator
- 3. Austin Fisher, Project Manager
- 4. Richard Powell, Staff Civil Engineer
- 5. Chris D'Esposito, Director of Transportation Services

Qualified Firms/Companies Submitted:

- 1. Burk-Kleinpeter, Inc., Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 2. Dynamic Civil Solutions, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- 3. Engineering Design Technologies, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- 4. LBYD, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- 5. McGiffert and Associates, LLC, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 6. TTL, Inc., Tuscaloosa, Alabama

Ranking of Most Qualified Firms to be submitted to the Physical Properties Committee

- 1. McGiffert and Associates, LLC, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 2. TTL, Inc., Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 3. LBYD, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama

Reviewed and approved by:

Viva Dooley

Chairman of Consultant Selection Committee

ice President for Finance and Operations and Treasurer

The University of Alabama Architectural Presentation outline University Services Campus Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East UA Project No. TRN-20-2191

Part 1

ONE: RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS (Score 1-5)

- A. Describe <u>your firm's</u> experience working with other universities and state agencies.
- B. Describe <u>your firm's</u> experience working with The University of Alabama.
 - a. The University desires to have input in the procurement of consultants once the top ranked firm is selected.
- C. The University encourages the use of certified minority-owned businesses and certified women-owned businesses in its construction program. Describe your firm's approach in soliciting certified minority-owned or women-owned firms and consultants.

TWO: RESPONDENT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS (Score 1-5)

- A. Identify and describe the proposed team's experience providing A/E services that are <u>identical or similar</u> to this project within the last ten (10) years. List the projects in order of priority, with the most relevant project listed first.
- B. Provide references (for each project listed above, identify the following):
 - The Owner's name and their representative who served as the day-to-day liaison during the design and construction phases of the project, including current contact information.

The Owner may contact these references during this qualification process.

C. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years ever been terminated from a design project? If yes, please give pertinent details.

THREE: LITIGATION AND CLAIMS (Score 1-5)

- A. Does your firm/organization or any of its officers currently have any judgments, claims, arbitration or mediation proceedings pending or outstanding? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).
- B. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years filed any lawsuits or requested arbitration or mediation proceedings in regard to any of your construction projects? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

FOUR: RESPONDENT'S ABILITY TO MEET INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. (Score 1-5)

- A. Does your firm/organization have the ability to meet all of the University insurance requirements? (see attached)
- B. What is your process for managing any claims of the contractors during the project?

FIVE: PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA (Score 1-5)

- A. Describe your firm's approach with design alternates and phasing projects. Provide (graphically) an example of a past project with multiple phases.
- B. Discuss your approach to establishing and maintaining project budget. Provide an example of a detailed budget from a previous project.
- C. Describe your construction administration philosophy and approach for large projects. How do you keep projects on schedule?
- D. Share your overall proposed design team and the person who will ultimately be responsible for project success.
- E. Discuss your firm's availability and any initial challenges that you perceive with this project.

The University of Alabama

Architectural Presentation outline

University Services Campus

Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East

UA Project No. TRN-20-2191

Part 1

ONE: RESPONDENT'S STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS (Score 1-5)

- A. Describe <u>your firm's</u> experience working with other universities and state agencies.
- B. Describe your firm's experience working with The University of Alabama.
 - a. The University desires to have input in the procurement of consultants once the top ranked firm is selected.
- C. The University encourages the use of certified minority-owned businesses and certified women-owned businesses in its construction program. Describe your firm's approach in soliciting certified minority-owned or women-owned firms and consultants.

TWO: RESPONDENT'S PERFORMANCE ON PAST REPRESENTATIVE PROJECTS (Score 1-5)

- A. Identify and describe the proposed team's experience providing A/E services that are <u>identical or similar</u> to this project within the last ten (10) years. List the projects in order of priority, with the most relevant project listed first.
- B. Provide references (for each project listed above, identify the following):
 - The Owner's name and their representative who served as the day-to-day liaison during the design and construction phases of the project, including current contact information.

The Owner may contact these references during this qualification process.

C. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years ever been terminated from a design project? If yes, please give pertinent details.

THREE: LITIGATION AND CLAIMS (Score 1-5)

A. Does your firm/organization or any of its officers currently have any judgments, claims, arbitration or mediation proceedings pending or outstanding? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

B. Has your firm/organization within the past seven (7) years filed any lawsuits or requested arbitration or mediation proceedings in regard to any of your construction projects? If yes, please give pertinent details and outcome(s).

FOUR: RESPONDENT'S ABILITY TO MEET INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS (Score 1-5)

- A. Does your firm/organization have the ability to meet all of the University insurance requirements? (see attached)
- B. What is your process for managing any claims of the contractors during the project?

FIVE: PROJECT SPECIFIC CRITERIA (Score 1-5)

- A. Describe your firm's approach with design alternates and phasing projects. Provide (graphically) an example of a past project with multiple phases.
- B. Discuss your approach to establishing and maintaining project budget. Provide an example of a detailed budget from a previous project.
- C. Describe your construction administration philosophy and approach for large projects. How do you keep projects on schedule?
- D. Share your overall proposed design team and the person who will ultimately be responsible for project success.
- E. Discuss your firm's availability and any initial challenges that you perceive with this project.



December 4, 2019

Dr. Dana S. Keith Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration Sid McDonald Hall 500 University Boulevard, East Tuscaloosa, AL 35401

Mr. James W. Wilson, III Chair, Physical Properties Committee Chairman and CEO Jim Wilson & Associates, LLC 2660 Eastchase Lane, Suite 100 Montgomery, AL 36117

RE: Consultant Selection Process - Part 1

Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East

UA Project #: TRN-20-2191

Dear Dr. Keith and Trustee Wilson,

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, on November 8, 2019, The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama ("Board") approved the Stage I submittal for the Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East located at 200 Peter Bryce Drive at a projected total Project cost of \$2,000,000.

Pursuant to Board Rule 415, notifications for the Project, including a brief description of the Project program, location, and preliminary budget were advertised, issued by email to Alabama-based firms and others in the consultant database and posted on The University of Alabama ("University") campus web page. Firms desiring to be considered were requested to provide brochures to the University outlining their qualifications, relevant experience and proposed team members by November 18, 2019.

A Consultant Selection Committee, appointed by the University, in accordance with the provisions of Board Rule 415, reviewed the submitted brochures on November 26, 2019, and scored the following engineering firms:

- Burk-Kleinpeter, Inc., Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- Dynamic Civil Solutions, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- Engineering Design Technologies, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama

Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East December 4, 2019 Page 2

- · LBYD, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
- · McGiffert and Associates, LLC, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- TTL, Inc., Tuscaloosa, Alabama

The Consultant Selection Committee then determined the following ranking for the firms deemed most qualified for the Project:

- 1. McGiffert and Associates, LLC, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 2. TTL, Inc., Tuscaloosa, Alabama
- 3. LBYD, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama

The primary selection criteria used in the ranking of the firms included the following:

- 1. The firms represented a clear understanding of the Project program and goals, as well as how to achieve them, specifically, expertise in design of campus parking lots.
- The firms are familiar with the University facilities standards and the regulatory requirements for the design of the project.
- The firms presented the most favorable listing of qualified principals, staff and associated engineers for the Project along with a commitment to meet the University's schedule for completion of the design and construction of the Project.

Approval is hereby requested for:

- 1. The ranking of engineering firms listed hereinbefore.
- Approval to submit these rankings for the Physical Properties Committee for review and approval.

If you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me.

Matthew M. Fajack

vice President for Finance and Operations

and Treasurer

MMF/ccj

Attachment

pc w/atchmts: Michael Rodgers

Michael Lanier

Tim Leopard

Sommer Coleman

Austin Fisher Richard Powell Peter Bryce Campus Central Parking Lot-East December 4, 2019 Page 3

The above listing of firms ranked as the most qualified for the Project are hereby approved and by forwarding this executed document to the Chancellor's office, the rankings are approved for inclusion in the Board materials to the Physical Properties Committee.

Dana skeith 12/11/2019

Dr. Dana S. Keith: **Recommended for Approval** Vice Chancellor for Finance and Administration

DocuSigned by:

James W. Wilson, III

-454FB4D0A3234D5...

Trustee James W. Wilson, III: **Approval Recommended** Chair of the Physical Properties Committee

THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA SYSTEM

PROJECT PLANNING REPORT DATE: FEBRUARY 6 - 7, 2020

				INTERIM REPORT FINAL REPORT
				1 REPORT NO.
TO:	OFFICE OF THE CHANCELLOR BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE		LABAMA	_
FROM:	OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT THE UNIVERSITY OF ALABAM	A		
	1. PROJECT:	Peter Bryce Camp	ous Central Parking Lot-East	
	2. LOCATION:	200 Peter Bryce D	Prive	
	3. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER:	Requesting in this	submittal	
	4. PROJECT STATUS:			
	A. SCHEMATIC DESIGN		DATE INITIATED	November-19
	71. OGIILMITTIO DESIGN		% COMPLETE	100%
			* DATE COMPLETED	January-20
	B. PRELIMINARY DESIGN:		DATE INITIATED	February-20
			% COMPLETE	0%%
			* DATE COMPLETED	February-20
	C. CONSTRUCTION DOCU	MENTS:	DATE INITIATED	February-20
			% COMPLETE	0%
			* DATE COMPLETED	March-20
	D. SCHEDULED BID DATE			March-20
	5. CURRENT PROJECT BUDGET	Γ:		CURRENT
	A. CONSTRUCTION			\$ 1,000,000
	B. UTILITIES AND INFRAST	RUCTURE		\$ 300,000
	C. LANDSCAPING D. SECURITY/ACCESS CON	TDOI		\$ 75,000
	E. TELECOMMUNICATION			\$ 100,000 \$ 85,000
	F. CONTINGENCY* (10%)	IDATA		\$ 137,500
	G. UA PROJECT MANAGEM	IENT FEE** (3%)		\$ 45,375
	H. ARCHITECT/ENGINEER			\$ 97,625
	I. EXPENSES (SURVEYS, TES		NS)	\$ 80,000
	J. OTHER FEES AND SERVICE		•	\$ 79,500
	K. TOTAL PROJECT COST			\$ 2,000,000
	**UA Project Management Fee is base	d on 3% of the total cost	utilities and infrastructure, and landscaping. of construction, utilities and infrastructure, landscaping, and construction, utilities and infrastructure, and landscaping.	
	6. FUNDING/RESOURCES:	University Plant R	eserves - \$2,000,000	
	7. REMARKS			
* FINAL A	AGENCY APPROVAL	SUBMITTED BY:	Tim leopard	

PETER BRYCE CAMPUS CENTRAL PARKING LOT-EAST

LOCATION MAP

